

**Expert Journal of Economics.** Volume 5, Issue 1, pp. 1-13, 2017 © 2017 The Author. Published by Sprint Investify. ISSN 2359-7704 Economics.ExpertJournals.com

# Market Economies Potentialities and Cultural Clusters. A Global and Longitudinal Study

## Jorge MONGAY\*

National Institute of Development and Administration, Thailand

This paper explores longitudinally and globally the relationships between cultural clusters and the determinants that drive market potentiality. The research shows differences in variances in all 7 determinants using a longitudinal one way Anova method and an Estimated Size Effect. Conclusions show three revealing issues: 1. There is significance in all Market Potentiality Index determinants with the exception of "Market Intensity and Market Receptivity". 2. The clusters do not show significant differences according to the MPI determinants with the regular exception of Latin American cluster. 3. The results obtained after the estimated effect size analysis show that Commercial Infrastructure and Country Risk are the most important factors which influence on the variability of the clusters.

Keywords: cultural clusters, market potentiality, global research

JEL Classification: E22, L22, L10

#### 1. Introduction

The main goal of this research is to determine possible relationships and influences of cultural clusters in country-market potentialities and its determinants. For this purpose two very well-known models are applied due to their robustness: The first one is The Globe Project (Grove, 2005) created with the cooperation of 170 country investigators and over 17,000 managers who participated worldwide in order to group into cultural clusters a total of 62 countries. The second big model used is the composite index to measure country-market potentiality, the called originally Overall Market Opportunity Index but also known generally as Market Potentiality Index developed by (Cavusgil, 1997). The research will setup as independent variables a total 9 clusters which are: 1. Anglo, 2. Germanic, 3. Latin European, 4. Eastern European, 5. Middle Eastern, 6. Confucian, 7. South East Asian. 8. Latin American and 9. Nordic. Note that the African cluster has not been tested due to the lack of data related to the determinants of the MPI. On the other side, the determinants of the Market Potentiality Index analyzed are as follows: 1) Market size, 2) Market growth, 3) Market intensity, 4) Market Consumption Capacity 5) Commercial Infrastructure, 6) Economic Freedom, 7) Market Receptivity and 8) Risk. Longitudinal secondary data collected refers to years 2014, 2015 and 2016 leading to a total of 48 countries evaluated where N=1296. The research applies a one way Anova and its Estimated Size Effect of each variable.

\* Corresponding Author:

Article History:

Cite Reference:

Jorge Mongay, National Institute of Development and Administration, Nida Business School, Bangkok, Thailand

Received 19 December 2016 | Accepted 17 January 2017 | Available Online 24 January 2017

Mongay, J., 2017. Market Economies Potentialities and Cultural Clusters. A Global and Longitudinal Study. *Expert Journal of Economics*, 5(1), pp. 1-13.

#### 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Globe Project, Clusters and Culture

National culture is widely analyzed in the literature and its references to international business are quite relevant and regular. Country clusters appear in order to moderate the power relationships (Hoffman, 1987). Language, religion, and geography generates cluster formation playing a complex and prominent role (Ronen and Shenkar, 2013). Cultural and religious differences relate to perceived corruption in countries (Mensah, 2014). Cultural effects, the internationalization process and national culture play a significant role in International Business Theory (Chabowski et al., 2010). Clusters of countries are analyzed in reference to national wealth, as well as with dimensions of national culture (Hofstede, Van Deusen, Mueller and Charles, 2002). Research on cultural country clusters identified by Ronen and Shenkar (1985) and Shenkar (2001), as Oesterle and Fisch (2000) have also been observed. Classifying the geographical distribution of Foreign Direct Investment allows to present cultural and institutional diversity as a locational determining variable (Sullivan, 1994; Ietto-Gillies, 1998). Six clusters are considered in other research performed, which includes all other countries (supporting the findings of Rugman and Verbeke, 2007).

While geographic clusters may develop as a result of historic factors and co-location advantages (Mudambi and Swift, 2012; Zucker et al., 1998), the functionality of clusters may be conditioned by different types of connectivity (Lorenzen and Mudambi, 2013), and also is important to mention the alliances and netwowing (Markusen, 1999). Research suggests as well that firms can reduce the liability of foreignness by expanding to close countries (Hymer, 1960).

The clustering phenomenon has also been used in spatial proximity and knowledge spillovers (Cantwell and Piscitello, 2005) and the effect of proximity with collaborators and competitors on company performance (Chang and Xu, 2008). Country clusters in Vietnam and its FDI have been studied showing distinct clusters of foreign investors from a wide range of industries and countries of origin. (Tan and Meyer, 2011). Country and industry impacts is substantial in explaining growth options of companies based in different nations (Tong et al., 2008). National culture influences as well the effectiveness of legal settings and regulations (Karaibrahimoglu and Cangarli, 2015). National cultures differences influence to MNC's experience with foreign direct investments (FDI) (Zeng et al., 2013).

The effect of culture on corporate governance is analyzed using a single institutional framework, suggesting that a company board composition is significantly driven by language, although in contrast, ownership and equity structure are not significantly related to culture. (Volonte, 2015). Nation's culture has been demonstrated that it might affect to the quality of information (Gnanlet and Yayla Kullu, 2014).

Some studies conducted by (Yim and Gray, 2009) assess the relative benefits of optional cultural systems as the premise to build indices of cultural distance utilizing the one presented by Kogut and Singh (1988), Hofstede (1980, 1991) as well as Schwartz (1994, 2003) and GLOBE (2004) systems. These outcomes bolster not just the robustness of Kogut and Singh's technique to index of cultural distance, additionally the consistency of the alternative indices of cultural distance used to clarify ownership mode choices by MNEs.

Some studies that have been using the GLOBE approach In particular, stating that some national cultures are more conducive to the implementation of quality management than others suggesting that the creation and transferability of knowledge and quality at an international level is relates to culture. (Vecchi and Brennan, 2011). The GLOBE project received criticisms from a marketing perspective, supporting others like Brewer and Venaik's. It is stated the fact that the implausibility of deterministic claims about the multi-level power of national culture is described and discussed by drawing on a wide range of disciplines (including anthropology, geography, and sociology). Findings related to "Descriptions of the characteristics and origins of subnational level behavior based on a priori depictions of national culture values look invalid and misleading. Research conducted highlights the unsoundness of descriptions of the subnational (individuals, consumer segments, organizations, and so forth) which are derived from national-level depictions of culture and the dangers of ignoring the independent causal influence of non-national culture and non-cultural factors. (McSweeney, 2013).

Researchers should be cautious in using the Hofstede or GLOBE national culture dimension scores for analysis at the level of individuals (Venaik and Brewer, 2013).

Other models state that is possible to cluster European countries by market attractiveness. The authors encourage international marketing and business scholars to make use of Inglehart's framework. (Gaston-Breton and Martin, 2011). Ronen and Shenkar (2013) synthesized cultural clustering of countries based on similarity and dissimilarity in work-related attitudes. Their map expands coverage to world areas that were non-accessible at the time, indicating three levels of similarity across given country pairs. Also has been found a highly cohesive Arab and Anglo clusters to the least cohesive Confucian and Far Eastern clusters. A

ecocultural perspective is used to examine a combined role of language, religion, and geography in generating cluster formation. Findings state that forces play a complex role. (Stephan and Uhlaner, 2010) in a sample of 40 nations. Based on data from the GLOBE project, they identify two higher-order dimensions of culture as socially supportive culture (SSC) and performance-based culture (PBC).

Understanding the influence of culture on business operations has been one of the most important issues in theorizing and empirical investigation in the international business field. Authors tend to demonstrate that further advancement on how we conceptualize and measure culture is not only needed, but also possible. (Caprar et al., 2015). Also, Dow et al. (2009) explore how within-country diversity of both language and religion influences the ownership structure of foreign acquisitions. Diversity within the home country may increase the cognitive complexity of the decision makers. Some hypothesis stated is that knowledge of foreign market opportunities is commonly acquired via existing inter-personal links rather than collected systematically via market research (Ellis, 2000). Others like as Gomez-Mejia and Palich (1997) test the hypothesis that culturally related international diversification will have a positive impact on firm performance and that the opposite will be true for culturally unrelated globalization.

### 2.2. Geography and Strategic Implications

Making reference to clusters, culture, societies and their potentiality as market, the importance of geographical location has been analyzed in as well. Findings of research suggest that the political and legal related risks associated with companies' activities pose a threat to the majority of executives and the vulnerability to these risks are not related to any enterprise's-specific characteristics (Khattab et al., 2012). Research on Home Regional Orientation (HRO) suggests that there is an emerging consensus that most multinational companies are regional and performance significantly reduces Home Regional Orientation although this factor does not show a significant effect on performance (Banalieva and Dhanaraj, 2013). Geographic location may be one reason why some ventures are able to acquire the resources needed to internationalize while others cannot. Location influences new venture internationalization, and firm characteristics impact the nature of the relationship (Fernhaber et al., 2008) and international business has much to contribute to intra-national business in helping develop a theory of the business enterprise in space (Ghemawat, 2015).

Also related to market potentiality, it is highlighted in the literature the nature and importance of international segmentation (Wind and Douglas, 1972). Also, the relationship of country-specific corporate social responsibility (CSR) to international organizational strategy shows that institutional pressures, guide the decision-making process related to Corporate Social Responsibility policies. (Husted and Allen, 2006). National trust affects the governance structure used to organize alliances between partners. Research argues that this effect of national trust is moderated by improved information on the partner firm. (Kwon, Haleblian and Hagedorn, 2016). Also and despite failure rates of around 30%, international joint ventures (IJVs) continue to grow. Research has also being conducted on strategy related beliefs in organizations with substantial foreign participation finding that one of the strongest determinants of similarity of beliefs was being a member of the functional area favored by the strategic change. It looks that the effect of being in the favored area was greater than the effect of all other individual characteristics, including nationality (Markoczy, 2000). Related to Emerging Markets (EM), also it has been demonstrated that Multinational Corporations (MNC) play a pivotal role in the development of the markets (Meyer, 2004).

#### 2.3. Market Potentiality and Attractiveness

The imperatives for sustainability marketing (SM) adoption in the emerging markets (EMs) have been evaluated due to their importance in today's international business context (Anayo, 2011). Using Rugman and Verbeke's (2007) diamond network model, (Asmussen et al., 2009), it is possible to hypothesize upon the links between host-country environments and subsidiary competence. Companies seeking to expand abroad are faced with the complex task of screening and evaluating foreign markets. How managers define, characterize, and express foreign market opportunities, what makes a good market or an attractive industry environment? Markets differ in terms of market attractiveness, due to variations in the economic and commercial environment, growth rates, political stability, consumption capacity, receptiveness to foreign market assessment and selection: country clustering and country ranking.

These two combined methods, can be very useful for managers in the early stages of foreign market selection (Cavusgil et al., 2004). It is also encouraged to business and international marketing scholars the use of Inglehart's framework (Gaston-Breton and Martin, 2011).

Market potentiality and attractiveness is not always easy to measure and compare due to the different nature of the industries. When comparing the BRIC countries with Germany as a representative mature market to put into perspective the short to medium-term market potential of BRIC markets, the majority of the companies examined focused their strategic investment priorities on emerging markets. The short-to mid-term revenue potential of the BRIC countries are expected to be lower in absolute terms than those for mature markets such as Germany (Heinz and Tomenendal, 2012). Erhman and Hamburg (1986) report as well the development of a model for determining how firms should select the countries to be used in the information search for foreign direct investment.

International business research has long acknowledged the importance of supranational regional factors in building models to explain phenomena such as where multinational corporations (MNCs) choose to locate. It is documented and supported in comparative analyses of regional schemes used to explain where US-based MNCs locate operations around the world. Geography, culture, trade and investment-based schemes with better structural coherence exhibit better initial fit with MNC location models (Flores et al.,2013), while Hashai (2011) theorizes and empirically demonstrates that born global firms stick to a dominant internationalization path.

Host market selection in the context of home market retail structural development has been analyzed by Alexander et al., (2011). Although there are many techniques which are used in determining market potentials, when the data are scarce one of these becomes more readily useful than others. A relevant technique used is the multiple factor analysis, for example, research attempts to determine the market potential of Easter European countries by using this technique which converts the East European market conditions into the known U.S. market conditions by using a series of criteria as common denominators according to Samli (1977).

Also, the importance of proximity in the supply chain has been analyzed on the field of the European automotive industry in order to simultaneously evaluate the relative importance of three dimensions: geographical, cultural, and relational proximity, here authors Schmitt and Van Biesebroeck (2013) find that carmakers value some aspects of each dimension independently in their sourcing strategy.

Traditional market selection analysis relies on purely macroeconomic and political factors and fails to account for an emerging market's dynamism and future potential (Sakarya et al., 2007). A theoretical model of managerial decisions involving international market entry has been analyzed by (Malhotra and Sivakumar, 2011). The authors find that cultural distance and market potential have curvilinear and interaction effects on the level of equity participation.

Rahman (2003) states that the significance and requirement for efficiently assessing and selecting potential foreign markets has been stressed by numerous researchers. The conclusions drawn by the author identified with the fact that global organizations follow stepwise process; the primary phase is based on the assessment of market size attractiveness which takes into consideration some macro and microeconomic factors alongside some other macro scale level and company related factors; and the second stage is based on the assessment of markets structural attractiveness which considers some cost, structural similarity, government policy factors, alongside some firm related factors.

Deciding variables in the rate of franchising among developing countries has been looked into also by previous studies (Baena, 2012). Little is thought about the components affecting nation choice for venture into these markets. While trying to upgrade the information that managers and researchers have on franchising extension, a study analyzes how market situations may constrain diffusion of franchising into developing markets. They are: geological distance; cultural distance; uncertainty evasion; individualism; political solidness; and corruption.

The author also controlled for gross domestic product, the efficiency of contract enforcement, and nascent. Sahoo and Acharya (2012) state that it exists a positive significant correlation between foreign direct investment (FDI) and Macroeconomic performance (MEP) indicates that a State's overall macroeconomic policy performance does matter to attract FDI.

#### 3. Methodology, Data, Research and Hypothesis

This research project will determine if the fact of being a member of a certain cultural cluster has something to do with the variables that determine the market potentiality index (MPI) or Overall Market Opportunity Index (OMOI), in line with prior research of variables identification by Cavusgil (1997) and Cavusgil (2004). As a first step a normality test has been applied to verify the datasets and make sure that the correct statistical contrast is used. As a second step a one-way ANOVA evaluates the significance between the variables including a Post Hoc analysis in some cases in order to verify the significance between groups. As a

third step an Estimated Size effect analysis (ETA) will determine the factors which account the most in the variability of the MPI index.

Using the GLOBE project as a framework for analysis looks coherent with the literature review e.g. Dorfman and House (2004), Peterson and Castro (2006) on measurements of the GLOBE, Javidan, Dastalmachian (2009) on managerial implications in Asia, Den Hartog et al. (1997) comparative research, or Kabasakal et al. (2012).

This research starts collecting data of 10 different global clusters. (African cluster is excluded in its MPI index analytics due to the lack of data).

Collected longitudinal information on absolute values of the Market Potentiality Index (MPI) (Cavusgil, 1997) and its determinants which construct the MPI. The determinants are as follows: 1) Market size (MS), 2) Market growth (MG), 3) Market intensity (MI), 4) Market Consumption Capacity (MCC), 5) Commercial Infrastructure (CI), 6) Economic Freedom (EF), 7) Market Receptivity (MR) and 8) Risk. Longitudinal data collected refers to years 2014, 2015 and 2016.

The cultural clusters are based on values and beliefs and abstract ideas influenced by lifestyle, religion or other human variables. The elements which compose the Market Potentiality Index (Cavusgil, 1997) depend on weighted factors.

Peculiarities of the sample:

1. The US does not appear in the study of the MPI variables due to the fact that the MPI index explores a total of 87 nations identifying the levels of attractiveness or potentiality for US companies. So, consequently all possible nations are analyzed but the US.

2. The country Switzerland is included in the cluster Germanic.

3. The country South Africa is included in the cluster Anglo (due that most business and economic drivers are still under this cluster and not the African one).

4. Absence of data in the MPI determinants in the African cluster.

Hypothesis formulation

 $H_0$  = Clusters and groups of countries differ regularly in a longitudinal way and determinants express significant differences in their size effect as it can be deducted from (Cavusgil, 2004)

 $H_1^{=}$  Clusters and groups will not differ regularly in a longitudinal way and determinants will not express significant differences in their size effect.

#### 4. Results of the Research

*Test of normality.* It applies to all eight (8) variables and to the overall MPI index. In this case all variables show normality in their distributions.

Anova results for the Determinant. "Market Growth". 2016-2014. This determinant variable shows significance <0.05 in all 3 years analyzed, 2016, 2015 and 2014, showing values .000 in all cases with a F = 5.049 (2016), F=4.824 (2015) and F= 6.637 (2014). Levene's test shows significance <0.05 the year 2016 with a value of .039. In the first dataset related to year 2016 has been applied Tukey while in years 2015 and 2014 T2-Tamhane has been applied leading to the following Post Hoc results: In year 2016 Latino America (Latam) cluster shows significance with Germanic and Nordic groups (.011 and .025, respectively). Years 2015 and 2014 show preponderance of a varied number of groups in a fragmented series.

Anova results for the determinants "Market Size" (MS) and "Market intensity" for years 2014, 2015 and 2016 do not show significance at the level <0.05 at all.

Anova results for Market Consumption Capacity (MCC). 2014, 2015 and 2016. Market Consumption capacity (MCC) determinant shows significance in 2 years (2016 and 2015), with levels <0.05 as .003(2016) and .032 (2015). Levene's test show significance all 3 years 2016, 2015 and 2014 with levels of .013, .041 and .014 respectively. The post hoc analysis shows a great significance and presence of the cluster LATAM with levels of significance of <0.05 in its pair with the Germanic cluster in the years 2016 and 2015 and with the Eastern European (EE) cluster in all 3 years.

Anova results for Commercial Infrastructure. (CI). 2014, 2015 and 2016. In this case the analysis shows a great significance in the levels of Commercial Infrastructure appear very significant showing levels of F=12.943 (2016), F= 6.537 (2015) and F= 9.252 (2014), being significance at the .000 level in all years. The Levene's test of homogeneity of variances shows levels <0.05 in the years 2015 with a value of .013) and 2014 with a value of .047. Post Hoc analysis applies under Tukey and T2-Tamhane reflecting a very fragmented series of binomials in year 2016 and a quite important presence of the LATAM cluster in the years 2015 and 2014.

Anova results for Economic Freedom. 2014, 2015 and 2016. Significance appears here again in this determinant. The results show an F= 4.156 and a sig= .001 (Year 2016), F=2.891 and sig = .013 (2015) and F=4.257 and sig = .001 (2014). In the test of homogeneity of variances (Levene), shows significance the years 2016 and 2014 (.036 and .028) respectively while not in the year 2015 with a value of .062. After applying Tukey corrector no significance appear for any group during the year 2015. On the other side and using T2, se see again a predominance of LATAM cluster combined with a fragmented series of clusters.

Anova results for Market Receptivity (MR). 2014, 2015 and 2016. There is significance in years, Year 2016, p=.001, Year 2015, p=.006, Year 2014, p=.010. Levene's test express significance in the differences in the variances as well with a Sig., p=.000 in all 3 years. Post hoc applied under T2-Tamhane is performed showing no significant differences at all between binomials.

Anova results for Risk (RISK). 2014, 2015 and 2016. There is significance in all groups and years, Year 2016, p=.001, Year 2015, p=.001, Year 2014, p=.001. Levene's test express significance in the differences in the variances as well with a Sig., p=0.005, 0.014 and 0.016 in all 3 years. Post hoc applied under T2-Tamhane is performed showing no significant differences at all between binomials.

Anova results for the overall Market Potential Index (MPI). 2014, 2015 and 2016. There is significance in all groups and years, (.000 in all years). Levene's test express significance in the differences in the variances as well (.009, .007 and .008), so post hoc analysis under T2-Tamhane is performed showing significance only in the pair Latin America-Germanic with a value of sig=0.049.

The results of Anova in table the following tables:

Market Consumption	F	Sig.	Commercial Infrastructure	F	Sig.	Economic Freedom (EE)	F	Sig.
Capacity. (MCC)	2 (50)	0.000 #	(CI)	10.040	0.000 /	NO01 C	1150	0.001.4
Y2016	3.678	0.003 *	Y2016	12.943	0.000 *	Y2016	4.156	0.001 *
Y2015	2.41	0.032 *	Y2015	6.537	0.000 *	Y2015	2.891	0.013 *
Y2014	1.956	0.079	Y2014	9.252	0.000 *	Y2014	4.257	0.001 *
Market Growth	F	Sig.	Market Size (MS)	F	Sig.	Market	F	Sig.
(MG)		S-g-		-	5 <b>-5</b> -	Potential Index (MPI)	-	5.8.
Y2016	5.049	0.000 *	Y2016	1.307	0.268	Y2016	5.559	0.000 *
Y2015	4.824	0.000 *	Y2015	1.301	0.272	Y2015	5.618	0.000 *
Y2014	6.637	0.000 *	Y2014	1.292	0.276	Y2014	4.819	0.000 *
Market Intensity	F	Sig.	Market	F	Sig.	Risk	F	Sig.
(MI)	Г	Sig.	Receptivity (MR)	Г	Sig.	NISK	Ľ	oig.
Y2016	1.036	0.427	Y2016	2.963	0.011 *	Y2016	5.578	0.001 *
Y2015	0.878	0.543	Y2015	3.268	0.006 *	Y2015	4.429	0.001 *
Y2014	1.488	0.193	Y2014	3.005	0.010 *	Y2014	4.117	0.001 *
		•	Note:(*): Sig <0	.05 level			•	

Table 1. ANOVA results for all determinants.

After the Post Hoc test are applied to the relevant groups and significance appears, not all groups show differences and when differences appear not always shows a longitudinal consistency.

Determinant	Years of Analysis	ANOVA Sig.	Years of Sig.	Post- Hoc	Groups' Sig.	Years of Sig.	Period Studied	Support of H <sub>0</sub> or H <sub>1</sub>	ETA
Market Growth (MG)	3	Yes	3	-	-	-	2014- 2016	H <sub>0</sub>	HO
Market Size (MS)	3	No	-	-	-	-	2014- 2016	H <sub>0</sub>	НО
Market Intensity (MI).	3	No	-	-	-	-	2014- 2016	H <sub>0</sub>	

**Table 2.** Table of Determinant MPI and their hypothesis relationship

Market	3	Yes	2	Yes	1	3 years	2014-	$H_1$	HO
Consumption							2016		
Capacity (MCC)									
Commercial	3	Yes	3	Yes	4	3 years	2014-	$H_1$	НО
Infrastructure							2016		
(CI)									
Economic	3	Yes	2	-	-	-	2014-	$H_0$	HO
Freedom							2016		
(EF)									
Market	3	Yes	3	-	-	-	2014-	$H_0$	HO
Receptivity							2016		
(MR)									
Risk (R)	3	Yes	3	Yes	-	-	2014-	$H_0$	HO
							2016		

According to *Market Consumption Capacity (MCC)* (Table 3) we see that Latin America cluster differ from others all the 3 years, with Germanic in 2 years and with EE and Nordic. No doubt that the behavior of the Market Consumption Capacity in Latin America tends to differ from other groups analyzed.

POST HOC	2016	2015	2014
T2-Tamhane	Х	Х	Х
YEAR 2016	Sig		
Germanic- Latam	0.015		
Eastern European - Latam	0.008		
YEAR 2015	Sig		
Germanic- Latam	0.023		
Eastern European - Latam	0.01		
YEAR 2014	Sig		
Eastern European - Latam	0.016		
Nordic - Latam	0.003		

 Table 3. Post Hoc for MCC showing significant differences. 2016-2014.

According with *Commercial Infrastructure (CI)* (Table 4), we see again that the cluster Latin American is the one that differs more from other groups consistently during the 3 years.

Table 4. CIPOST HOC	2016	2015	2014
Tukey	Х		
T2 Tamhane		Х	Х
YEAR 2016	Sig		
Anglo- Latin European	0.006		
Anglo- EE	0.000		
Anglo- Middle East	0.000		
Anglo- SEA	0.000		
Anglo- <u>Latam</u>	0.000		
Germanic- ME	0.025		
Germanic- SEA	0.014		
Germanic- Latam	0.000		
Latin European- Latam	0.041		
EE-Confucionism	0.031		
ME-Confucionism	0.007		
Confucionism- SEA	0.004		
Confucionism- Latam	0.000		
Latam- Nordic	0.006		

Table 4. CI Tukev test. Year 2016.

Table 5. T2-Tamhane test for CI. Year 2015 and 2014						
POST HOC	2016	2015	2014			
Tukey	Х					
T2 Tamhane		Х	Х			
YEAR 2015	Sig					
Germanic - LATAM	0.033					
Germanic - EE	0.001					
Latam - Latin European	0.024					
YEAR 2014	Sig					
Anglo - SEA	0.015					
Germanic - Latam	0.000					
Latam - Latin European	0.020					
Latam - Anglo	0.015					
Latam - EE	0.021					
Latam - Nordic	0.000					

#### . . . .. a . . . -

Results of the estimated size effect (ETA) analysis. Some determinants of the MPI index contribute more to the variability of the clusters, so the ones which account the most are showed in the following table:

Table 6. ETA results. Years 2014-2016							
Determinant	2016	2015	2014				
1. Market Size	0.211	0.211	0.210				
2. Market Growth	<u>0.509</u>	<u>0.497</u>	<u>0.577</u>				
3. Market Intensity	0.175	0.153	0.234				
4. Market Consumption	0.430	0.331	0.286				
Capacity (MCC)							
5. Commercial	<u>0.726</u>	<u>0.573</u>	<u>0.655</u>				
Infrastructure (CI)							
6. Economic Freedom	0.460	0.372	0.466				
7. Market Receptivity (MR)	0.378	0.401	0.381				
<u>8. Risk</u>	<u>0.954</u>	<u>0.458</u>	<u>0.476</u>				
9. MPI	0.533	0.535	0.497				

As we can see the factors that count the most and express more importance in the variability of the significances obtained are Risk, (with impacts ranging from 95% to 49%) Commercial Infrastructure (with impacts of 72%, 57%, and 65%) and Market Growth (with impacts of 51%, 49% and 57%). On the other side less important are the determinants Market Size with only ETA results of 21% and Market Intensity ranging from 17.5% to 23.4%).

#### 5. Discussion, Limitations and Future Research

It has been possible to collect only 3 years of the analysis of the MPI determinants (2014 to 2016), due that the MPI index is still relatively young. The research uses the GLOBE classification which in some cases has been criticized (mainly from a marketing perspective), although it is widely believed that it provides a generic robust framework very useful to understand mechanisms related to market potentials and culture. A limitation of the research is the fact that the determinants of the market potentiality used are the ones made by (Cavusgil, 1997) and (Cavusgil, 2004) they are made from a US point of view in order to evaluate the market potentiality of countries versus the US. Obviously the US is excluded from the Anglo cluster in its analysis of determinants, but still, future recommended research would go in line of the elaboration of the Market Potentiality Index of countries versus other countries instead of countries versus the US only.

#### 5. Conclusions

There is significance in all MPI determinants with the exception of "Market Intensity and Market Receptivity". Consequently the type of cluster that a country belongs has to see with the possible evolution of the rest of the determinants which are Risk, Market Consumption Capacity, Market Size, Market Growth and Commercial Infrstructure. So it is possible to state that there is relationships between the cluster and most of the determinants of its market potentiality.

The clusters do not show significant differences among them with the regular exception of Latin American cluster. Latin American cluster, particularly in its Market Consumption Capacity (MCC) factor differs more than other clusters (mainly with the Anglo, Germanic and Nordic clusters). It is important to state that the MCC, (used initially in by Cavusgil in 1997) is a mix of other sub-factors as Consumer Expenditure, Income Share of Middle-Class, or Household Annual Disposable Income of Middle-Class. The behavior of the MCC, is not in line with other groups and in the same cluster but here there is a much more heterogeneous approach. Possible explanations to be explored might related to the facts that the distribution of the consumer expenditure or income behaves radically different than in Anglo or Nordic parameters.

Latin American clusters differ from others in its Commercial Infrastructure (CI). This determinant is made by a fragmented collection of sub-factors which increase its complexity. These factors are Cellular Mobile Subscribers, Households with Internet Access, International Internet Bandwidth, Number of PC's, Paved Road Density, Population per Retail Outlet, Available Airline Seats and Logistics Performance Index. The evolution of the commercial infrastructure in Latin America might differ from other countries because of political reasons, political economy decisions or vulnerability to economic crisis or periods of expansion. Also, the degree of economic and financial volatility might influence as well.

The results obtained after the estimated effect size show that Commercial Infrastructure and Country Risk account the most in the differences between clusters, becoming a strategic factor to pay attention for governments and managers when deciding on country or market potentiality.

#### References

- Adel Al, K., Jehad, A., Mahmaod, A., Sundus, A. Y. and Suleiman Al, K. 2012. Executives' perception of political-legal business environment in international projects. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 22(3), pp.168-181.
- Akash, D. and John, E. S. 2008. International currency reserves in emerging market economies: does India have enough? *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 17(1/2), pp.149-165.
- Allen, M. and Aldred, M. L. 2013. Business regulation, inward foreign direct investment, and economic growth in the new European Union member states. *Critical perspectives on international business*, 9(3), pp.301-321.
- Ana Isabel Polo, P., Dolores Maria Frias, J. and Jose Alberto Castaneda, G. 2015. Market orientation and business results among small scale service firms. *Academia Revista Latinoamericana de Administracion*, 28(1), pp.135-166.
- Anayo, D. N. 2011. Sustainability marketing in the emerging markets: imperatives, challenges, and agenda setting. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 6(3), pp.217-232.
- Anderson, E. and Gatignon, H. 1986. Modes of Foreign Entry: A Transaction Cost Analysis and Propositions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 17(3), pp.1-26.
- Asmussen, G. C., Pedersen, T. and Dhanaraj, C. 2009. Host-country environment and subsidiary competence: Extending the diamond network model. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(1), pp.42-57.
- Baena, V. 2012. Market conditions driving international franchising in emerging markets. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 7(1), pp.49-71.
- Banalieva, R. E. and Dhanaraj, C. 2013. Home-region orientation in international expansion strategies. *Journal* of International Business Studies, 44(2), pp.89-116.
- Bill de, M. 2008. Neo-colonialism through measurement: a critique of the corruption perception index. *Critical perspectives on international business*, 4(2/3), pp.184-202.
- Biresh, K. S. and Debashis, A. 2012. Constructing macroeconomic performance index of Indian states using DEA. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 39(1), pp.63-83.
- Boubakri, N., Guedhami, O., Kwok, Y. C. C. and Saffar, W. 2016. National culture and privatization: The relationship between collectivism and residual state ownership. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(2), pp.170-190.

- Brendan, M. 2013. Fashion founded on a flaw: The ecological mono-deterministic fallacy of Hofstede, GLOBE, and followers. *International Marketing Review*, 30(5), pp.483-504.
- Brouthers, D. K., Geisser, D. K. and Rothlauf, F. 2015. Explaining the internationalization of ibusiness firms. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(5), pp.513-534.
- Budak, J. and Rajh, E. 2016. Corruption as an obstacle for doing business in the Western Balkans: A business sector perspective. *International Small Business Journal*, 32(2), pp.140-157.
- Caprar, V. D., Devinney, M. T., Kirkman, L. B. and Caligiuri, P. 2015. Conceptualizing and measuring culture in international business and management: From challenges to potential solutions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 46(9), pp.1011-1027.
- Cardoza, G. and Fornes, G. 2011. International co-operation of Ibero-American countries in business administration and economics research: Presence in high-impact journals. *European Business Review*, 23(1), pp.7-22.
- Cavusgil, S. T. 1997. Measuring the potential of emerging markets: An indexing approach. *Business Horizons*, 40(1), pp.87-91.
- Cavusgil, S. T., Kiyak, T. and Yeniyurt, S. 2004. Complementary approaches to preliminary foreign market opportunity assessment: country clustering and country ranking. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(7), pp.607-617.
- Chabowski, R. B., Hult, M. G. T., Kiyak, T. and Mena, A. J. 2010. The structure of JIBS's social network and the relevance of intra-country variation: A typology for future research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(5), pp.925-934.
- Charlotte, G. B. and Oscar Martin, M. 2011. International market selection and segmentation: a two-stage model. *International Marketing Review*, 28(3), pp.267-290.
- Cuervo-Cazurra, A. 2008. The effectiveness of laws against bribery abroad. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(4), pp.634-651.
- Dai, L., Eden, L. and Beamish, W. P. 2013. Place, space, and geographical exposure: Foreign subsidiary survival in conflict zones. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 44(6), pp.554-578.
- Den Hartog Paul Koopman Henk Thierry Celeste Wilderom Jerzy Maczynski Slawomir Jarmuz, D. 1997. Dutch and Polish Perceptions of Leadership and Culture: The GLOBE Project. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 6(4), pp.387-413.
- Dimitris, S., Jo-Castro, C., Nicola, M., Dimitris, P. and Lourdes, V. 2003. Institutional support to strategic business orientations: an empirical analysis of rural businesses in four countries of southern Europe. *European Business Review*, 15(4), pp.235-244.
- DiRienzo, E. C., Das, J., Cort, T. K. and Burbridge, J. 2007. Corruption and the role of information. *Journal* of International Business Studies, 38(2), pp.320-332.
- Doh, P. J., Bunyaratavej, K. and Hahn, D. E. 2009. Separable but not equal: The location determinants of discrete services offshoring activities. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(6), pp.926-943.
- Dorfman, P. W. and House, R. J. 2004. Cultural influences on organizational leadership: Literature review, theoretical rationale, and GLOBE project goals. *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of*, 62, pp.51-73.
- Doupnik, S. T. and Salter, B. S. 1993. An Empirical test of a Judgemental International Classification of Financial Reporting Practices. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 24(1), pp.41-60.
- Dow, D., Cuypers, P. I. R. and Ertug, G. 2016. The effects of within-country linguistic and religious diversity on foreign acquisitions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(3), pp.319-346.
- Dr Yoram, W. and Susan, P. D. 1972. International market segmentation. *European Journal of Marketing*, 6(1), 17-25.
- Ehrman, M. C. and Hamburg, M. 1986. Information Search for Foreign Direct Investment Using Two-Stage Country Selection Procedures: A New Procedure. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 17(2), pp.93-116.
- Ellis, P. 2000. Social Ties and Foreign Market Entry. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 31(3), pp.443-469.
- Fang, W., Wojciech, K. and Ming, O. 2008. Facing the challenges of expansion in the European Union: A review and evaluation of the Polish UHT milk market. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 3(1), pp.71-86.
- Fernhaber, A. S., Gilbert, A. B. and McDougall, P. P. 2008. International entrepreneurship and geographic location: an empirical examination of new venture internationalization. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(2), pp.267-290.

- Flores, R., Aguilera, V. R., Mahdian, A. and Vaaler, M. P. 2013. How well do supranational regional grouping schemes fit international business research models? *Journal of International Business Studies*, 44(5), 451-474.
- Ghemawat, P., 2015. From International Business to Intranational Business, in Tihanyi, L., Banalieva, E.R., Devinney, T.M. and Pedersen, T. (ed.) *Emerging Economies and Multinational Enterprises (Advances in International Management, Volume 28)* Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.5-28.
- Gnanlet, A. and Yayla-Kullu, H. M. 2014. Impact of national culture on the quality of information delivery in services. *Service Business*, 8(1), pp.135-169.
- Gomez-mejia, R. L. and Palich, E. L. 1997. Cultural Diversity and the Performance of Multinational Firms. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 28(2), pp.309-335.
- Griffiths, M. D., Kickul, J. and Carsrud, A. L. 2009. Government Bureaucracy, Transactional Impediments, and Entrepreneurial Intentions. *International Small Business Journal*, 27(5), pp.626-645.
- Hashai, N. 2011. Sequencing the expansion of geographic scope and foreign operations by-born global-firms. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(8), pp.995-1015.
- Heinz, D., B. and Tomenendal, M. 2012. The emerging market-hype putting market size and growth in BRIC countries into perspective. *Critical perspectives on international business*, 8(3), pp.241-258.
- Hoffman, C. R. 1987. Political Versus Rational Sources of Decision Power among Country Clusters. *Journal* of International Business Studies, 18(3), pp.1-14.
- Hofstede, G., Van Deusen, A. C., Mueller, B. C., Charles, A. T. and The Business Goals, N. 2002. What Goals Do Business Leaders Pursue? A Study in Fifteen Countries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 33(4), pp.785-803.
- House, R., Hages, P., Mansour, J., Dorfman, W. P. and Gupta, V. 2004. *Culture, Leadership and Organization*. The GLOBE study of 62 societies. London Sage Publications Inc.
- House, R., Javidan, M., Hanges, P. and Dorfman, P. 2002. Understanding cultures and implicit leadership theories across the globe: an introduction to project GLOBE. *Journal of World Business*, 37(1), pp.3-10.
- Husted, W. B. and Allen, B. D. 2006. Corporate social responsibility in the multinational enterprise: strategic and institutional approaches. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(6), pp.838-849.
- Javidan, M. and Dastmalchian, A. 2009. Managerial implications of the GLOBE project: A study of 62 societies. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 47(1), pp.41-58.
- Kabasakal, H., Dastmalchian, A., Karacay, G. and Bayraktar, S. 2012. Leadership and culture in the MENA region: An analysis of the GLOBE project. *Journal of World Business*, 47(4), pp.519-529.
- Karsten, L. and Alexander Peter, G. 2011. The Attractiveness of 66 Countries for Institutional Real Estate Investments. *Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management*, 17(3), pp.191-211.
- Kim, Y. and Gray, S. J. 2009. An assessment of alternative empirical measures of cultural distance: Evidence from the Republic of Korea. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 26(1), pp.55-74.
- Kshetri, N. 2010. Business perceptions of regulative institutions in central and eastern Europe. *Baltic Journal* of Management, 5(3), pp.356-377.
- Kwon, S.-W., Haleblian, J. and Hagedoorn, J. 2016. In country we trust? National trust and the governance of international R&D alliances. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(7), pp.807-829.
- Manu, A. F. 1992. Innovation Orientation, Environment and Performance: A Comparison of U.S. and European Markets. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 23(2), pp.333-359.
- Mark Anthony, F., Edward, O. and Radwan, K. 2008. Market orientation, learning orientation and organisational performance in international joint ventures. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 20(3), pp.289-308.
- Markoczy, L. 2000. National Culture and Strategic Change in Belief Formation. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 31(3), pp.427-442.
- Mensah, Y. M. 2014. An Analysis of the Effect of Culture and Religion on Perceived Corruption in a Global Context. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 121(2), pp.255-282.
- Meyer, E. K. 2004. Perspectives on multinational enterprises in emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(4), pp.259-276.
- Mohammad Niamat, E. 2007. Lee C. Nehrt (1926-): A pioneering international business scholar. *European Business Review*, 19(2), pp.142-159.
- Mohammed, A. 2009. Impact of globalization on stock market synchronization: some empirical evidence. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 19(3), pp.208-221.

- Mongay, J. and Filipescu, D. A. 2012. Are Corruption and Ease of Doing Business Correlated? An Analysis of 172 Nations. In S. Harris, O. Kuivalainen & V. Stoyanova (Eds.), *International Business: New Challenges, New Forms, New Perspectives* (pp. 13-26). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Moses, N. K. and Aareni, U. 2010. Canada's global and business competitiveness: competition policy reform in a changing world. *Competitiveness Review*, 20(4), pp.288-304.
- Murat Hakan, A., Demetris, V., Hans Ruediger, K. and Ilan, A. 2011. Internationalization, market forces and domestic sectoral institutionalization. *European Business Review*, 23(2), pp.215-235.
- Nicholas, A., Mark, R. and Hayley, M. 2011. A gravitational model of international retail market selection. *International Marketing Review*, 28(2), pp.183-200.
- Nicolas, P. and Oscar Martin, M. 2011. International market selection and segmentation: perspectives and challenges. *International Marketing Review*, 28(2), pp.132-149.
- Perez -Batres, L. A., J. Pisani, M. and Doh, J. 2010. A Perspective on International Business Scholarship: Is It Regional or Global? *Multinational Business Review*, 18(1), pp.73-88.
- Peterson, M. F. and Castro, S. L. 2006. Measurement metrics at aggregate levels of analysis: Implications for organization culture research and the GLOBE project. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(5), 506-521.
- Qi, Y., Roth, L. and Wald, J. 2016. Creditor protection laws, debt financing, and corporate investment over the business cycle. *Journal of International Business Studies*, pp.1-21.
- Rialp, A. and Rialp, J. 2006. International Marketing Research: Opportunities and Challenges in the 21st Century, in Zou, S. (ed.) International Marketing in the Fast Changing World (Advances in International Marketing, Volume 17), pp. 1-13.
- Robert, J. H. 1998. A brief history of GLOBE. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 13(3/4), 230-240.
- Romilly, P. 2007. Business and climate change risk: a regional time series analysis. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(3), pp.474-480.
- Ronen, S. and Shenkar, O. 2013. Mapping world cultures: Cluster formation, sources and implications. *Journal* of International Business Studies, 44(9), pp.867-897.
- Rosenstreich, D. and Wooliscroft, B. 2012. Assessing international journal impact: the case of marketing. *European Business Review*, 24(1), pp.58-87.
- Rugman, M. A. and Verbeke, A. 2007. Liabilities of regional foreignness and the use of firm-level versus country-level data: a response to Dunning et al. (2007). *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(1), pp.200-205.
- Samli, C. A. 1977. An Approach for Estimating Market Potential in East Europe. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 8(2), pp.49-54.
- Schmitt, A. and Van Biesebroeck, J. 2013. Proximity strategies in outsourcing relations: The role of geographical, cultural and relational proximity in the European automotive industry. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 44(5), pp.475-503.
- Sema, S., Molly, E. and Karen, H. H. 2007. Market selection for international expansion: Assessing opportunities in emerging markets. *International Marketing Review*, 24(2), pp.208-238.
- Shao, L., Kwok, Y. C. C. and Zhang, R. 2013. National culture and corporate investment. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 44(7), pp.745-763.
- Shavin, M. and Sivakumar, K. 2011. Simultaneous determination of optimal cultural distance and market potential in international market entry. *International Marketing Review*, 28(6), pp.601-626.
- Snowdon, B. and Stonehouse, G. 2006. Competitiveness in a globalised world: Michael Porter on the microeconomic foundations of the competitiveness of nations, regions, and firms. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(2), pp.163-175.
- Stahl, K. G., Chua, H. C. and Pablo, L. A. 2012. Does National Context Affect Target Firm Employees-Trust in Acquisitions? *Management International Review*, 52(3), pp.395-423.
- Stephan, U. and Uhlaner, M. L. 2010. Performance-based vs socially supportive culture: A cross-national study of descriptive norms and entrepreneurship. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(8), pp.1347-1364.
- Sunil, V. and Paul, B. 2013. Critical issues in the Hofstede and GLOBE national culture models. *International Marketing Review*, 30(5), pp.469-482.
- Sunil, V., Yunxia, Z. and Paul, B. 2013. Looking into the future: Hofstede long term orientation versus GLOBE future orientation. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal*, 20(3), pp.361-385.
- Syed, H. R. 2003. Modelling of international market selection process: a qualitative study of successful Australian international businesses. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 6(2), pp.119-132.

- Tan, D. and Meyer, E. K. 2011. Country-of-origin and industry FDI agglomeration of foreign investors in an emerging economy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(4), pp.504-520.
- Tarek, E., Mohamed, B., Chong Ju, C. and Omar, A. R. 2011. Indices, firm identity and performance: implications from the European financial services. *European Business Review*, 23(5), pp.524-544.
- Tong, W. T., Alessandri, M. T., Reuer, J. J. and Chintakananda, A. 2008. How much does country matter? An analysis of firms-growth options. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(3), pp.387-405.
- Van Den Bulcke, D. 2004. Critical Perspectives on Internationalization. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(3), pp.251-254.
- Vecchi, A. and Brennan, L. 1980. Quality management: a cross cultural perspective based on the GLOBE framework. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(5), pp.527-553.
- Vogel, H. R. 1976. Uses of Managerial Perceptions in Clustering Countries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 7(1), pp.91-99.
- Volonte, C. 2015. Culture and Corporate Governance: The Influence of Language and Religion in Switzerland. *Management International Review*, 55(1), pp.77-118.
- Waheeduzzaman, A. N. M. 2011. Competitiveness and convergence in G7 and emerging markets. *Competitiveness Review*, 21(2), pp.110-128.
- Walter, G. S. 1989. Heinz Covers the Globe. Journal of Business Strategy, 10(2), pp.17-20.
- Windsor, D., 2013. International Business, Corruption, and Bribery, in Gonzalez-Perez, M.A. and Leonard, L. (ed.) International Business, Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility (Advances in Sustainability and Environmental Justice, Volume 11), Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.65 -95.
- Yildiz, E. H. and Fey, F. C. 2016. Are the extent and effect of psychic distance perceptions symmetrical in cross-border M&As? Evidence from a two-country study. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(7), pp.830-857.
- Yung-Ming, C. 2008. Asset specificity, experience, capability, host government intervention, and ownershipbased entry mode strategy for SMEs in international markets. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 18(3), pp.207-233.
- Zanakis, H. S., Newburry, W. and Taras, V. 2016. Global Social Tolerance Index and multi-method country rankings sensitivity. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(4), pp.480-497.
- Zeng, P. Y., Shenkar, O., Song, S. and Lee, S.-H. 2013. FDI Experience Location and Subsidiary Mortality. *Management International Review*, 53(3), pp.477-509.
- Zeng, Y., Shenkar, O., Lee, S.-H. and Song, S.2013. Cultural differences, MNE learning abilities, and the effect of experience on subsidiary mortality in a dissimilar culture: Evidence from Korean MNEs. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 44(1), pp.42-65.
- Zengin Karaibrahimoglu, Y. and Guneri Cangarli, B. 2015. Do Auditing and Reporting Standards Affect Firms-Ethical Behaviours? The Moderating Role of National Culture. *Journal of Business Ethics*, pp.1-21.

